

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/835,079	04/13/2001	Songxiang Wei	16440.4011	3866		
34313	7590 03/10/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP			ISMAIL, SHA	ISMAIL, SHAWKI SAIF		
IP PROSECU 4 PARK PLA	TION DEPARTMENT ZA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
SUITE 1600			2155			
IRVINE, CA 92614-2558			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/835,07	<b>'</b> 9	WEI, SONGXIANG				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Shawki S.	Ismail	2155				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica r Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after that term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evolication. lory period will apply and w I, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATIO ent, however, may a reply be ti fill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron lication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 12 December 2	005.					
, —	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
, —								
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,6-10,14-18 and 22-45</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)[ <b>X</b> ]	Claim(s) <u>1,2,6-10,14-18 and 22-45</u> is/are rejected.							
,	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election r	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objecti							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	•	=					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	e Action or form P1	ГО-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do  2. Certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internations  See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been been been been the priority documents Bureau (PCT Rules)	en received. en received in Applica ents have been receiv e 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National	Stage			
2) Notice 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO)		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	O-152)			

Art Unit: 2155

#### **RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT**

1. This action is responsive to the Amendment received on December 12, 2005. Claims 1, 9, 25, 31, 37, 38 and 40 have been amended. Claims 3-5, 11-13 and 19-21 have been cancelled. Claims 42-45 have been newly added. Claims 1, 2, 6-10, 14-18, and 22-45 are pending examination.

### The Old rejection maintained

2. The rejection is respectfully maintained as set forth in the last Office Action mailed on September 8, 2005. Applicants' arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive and the old rejection is maintained

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC §102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 1, 2, 6-10, 14-18, and 22-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Boss et al.**, U.S. Patent No. **5,758,110.**
- 5. As to claim 1, Boss teaches a method for sharing an application, the method comprising:

Art Unit: 2155

determining a position and a size of a shared application window displayed in a presenter screen by monitoring function calls made by the shared application to a Graphics Device Interface (see Fig. 8, col. 7, line 54-col. 8, line 29);

determining a position and a size of a non-shared application window displayed in the presenter screen by monitoring function calls made by the non-shared application (see Fig. 8, col. 7, line 54-col. 8, line 29);

if the non-shared application window overlaps the shared application window in a region of the presenter screen, determining a position and a size of art the overlapping region (see Fig. 8, col. 7, line 54-col. 8, line 29);

capturing a screen shot of an image corresponding to the shared application window (see Fig. 8, col. 7, line 54-col. 8, line 29); and

transmitting the screen shot and information for the position and size of the overlapping region to generate a viewer screen (see Fig. 8, col. 7, line 54-col. 8, line 29).

6. As to claim 6, Boss teaches the method of claim 1 further comprising:

determining whether the position or the size of the shared application window has changed by monitoring function calls made by the shared application (see Fig. 8, 9, col. 7, line 54-col. 8, line 29); and

if the position or the size of the shared application window has changed, determining a new position or a new size of the shared application window (see Fig. 8, 9, col. 7, line 54-col. 8, line 29).

7. As to claim 7, Boss teaches the method of claim 1 further comprising:

Art Unit: 2155

periodically capturing the image corresponding to the shared application window (col. 2, lines 57-67, col. 5, lines 24-39).

- As to claim 8, Boss teaches the method of claim 7 further comprising:
   periodically transmitting the captured image to a viewer (col. 2, lines 57-67, col.
   lines 24-39).
- 9. As to claims 9-10, 14-18, and 22-41, they contain similar limitations as above; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 42-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Boss et al.**, U.S. Patent No. **5,758,110** and in view of "Official Notice".
- 11. As to claims 42-43, Boss teaches the invention as claimed above. Boss does not explicitly teach wherein the function calls by the shared application include a GetRandomRgn function. And wherein the GetRandomRgn function comprises a iNum value of 4.

Art Unit: 2155

Applicant's disclosure states that Microsoft Corp. first published the GetRandomRgn function prototype with the release of Windows 2000. The publication stated that iNum must be SYSRGN (a predefined value). "Official Notice" is taken that the iNum value of 4 is equal to the SYSRGN and it is the only value documented and defined for this function.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to use the value of 4 (SYSRGN) as the iNum value in the GetRandomRgn function in order to accurately determine the visible region of a window.

- 12. Claim 44-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boss et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,758,110 and in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).
- 13. As to claim 44, it contains similar limitation as in claim 1 above. Boss teaches sharing graphic application but does not specifically teach OpenGl API based application.

AAPA teach OpenGL API based applications (OpenGl is a well-known application program interface (API) that is used by applications to draw graphics on a presenter's computer screen, page 22 lines 24 – page 23 line 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Boss with the teachings of AAPA in order to facilitate shared applications having the OpenGl APIs at the presenter's client computer.

Art Unit: 2155

14. As to claim 45, It contains similar limitation as in claim 1 above. Boss teaches

sharing graphic application but does not specifically teach DirectDraw API based

application.

AAPA teach DirectDraw API based applications (DirectDraw is a well-known

application program interface (API) that is used by applications to draw graphics on a

presenter's computer screen, page 22 lines 24 - page 23 line 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to combine the teachings of Boss with the teachings of AAPA in order to

facilitate shared applications having the DirectDraw APIs at the presenter's client

computer.

**Response to Arguments** 

15. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be

persuasive. Applicant argues in substance that:

(A) Boss does not teach determining a position and a size of a shared application

window displayed in a presenter screen by monitoring function calls made by the shared

application to a Graphics Device Interface

Response: The shared application on the host computer is monitored by intercepting

tasks (display driver calls) and if the task is part of the shared application it is

transmitted and displayed to the client system (col. 2, lines 57-67), therefore, Boss

meets the scope of the claimed limitation determining a position and a size of a shared

Art Unit: 2155

application window displayed in a presenter screen by monitoring function calls made by the shared application to a Graphics Device Interface.

16. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

## **Contact Information**

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawki S Ismail whose telephone number is 571-272-3985. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on 571-272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Art Unit: 2155

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 8

Shawki Ismail Patent Examiner March 3, 2006

> SALEH NAJJAR SALEH NAJJAR SALEH NAJJAR SALEH NAJJAR